

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,604	08/08/2003	Alexander Gordon Barr	279.398US2	3716
21186	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH 1600 TCF TOWER 121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			KOCH, GEORGE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
		DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/637,604	BARR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
George R. Koch III	1734	

zororo uro i milg or un rippour ziro.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George R. Koch III	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of th	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	,				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Office in	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause .			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to: 5.9 and 17. Claim(s) allowed: 21-29. Claim(s) objected to: 5.9 and 17. Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6,7,10-16 and 18-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	•	· · ——				
		Alloca	14			
		Geørge R. Koch III Primary Examiner				

Art Unit: 1734

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the rejections based on NN81024261, the combination of items 48 and 40 would be capable of continually applying the compression force as claimed. For example, the tabs can be used to lock in the layered elements and the spring can continually compress while stacking. Since the structure is identical, and the structure is capable of being used identically to that claimed, NN81024261 anticipates claims 1-4. Similarly, the structures of Breyen and Hahne are capable of compressing as claimed and the 102 are maintained as well. With respect to the arguments as to the 103(a) rejections, these start with the same rationale (lack of continual compression) and are unpersuasive for the same reason..